1	BE IT REMEMBERED That on Thursday, March 22,
2	2007, commencing at the hour of 10:48 a.m., at 801 K
3	Street, Suite 2300, Sacramento, California, before me,
4	Merry C. Gesner, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in the
5	State of California, personally appeared
6	MARK CARL SCHULTHIES,
7	a witness called by the Defendants National Railroad
8	Passenger Corporation in the above-entitled action,
9	who, having been duly sworn by the Certified Shorthand
10	Reporter to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
11	nothing but the truth, testified under oath as follows:
12	000
13	EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES
14	
15	Q Good morning, Mr. Schulthies. Even though
16	we've been chatting this morning, I just want to for
17	the record introduce myself.
18	My name is James Jones, and I'm the attorney
19	representing Amtrak in this case. It's the case of
20	John Earl Campbell vs. National Railroad Passenger
21	Corporation, doing business as Amtrak.
22	Could you please for the record state your
23	full name and spell your last?
24	A Mark Carl Schulthies, S-C-H-U-L-T-H-I-E-S.
25	Q Okay. What I'd like to do to begin is to run

, (ase 4.95 by 98434-CVV Document 130 Filed 03/27/2006 Page 3 of 100
1	long time, but, you know, the railroad's full of
2	rumors.
3	Q Okay.
4	A And I heard that he had gotten terminated,
5	and there was some suspicious circumstances involved.
6	I have no firsthand knowledge of those circumstances.
7	Q Okay. Now, while you were employed with
8	Amtrak, is it correct that you were Mr. Campbell's
9	supervisor?
10	A Yes.
11	Q And can you tell me during what period of
12	time you recall actually supervising Mr. Campbell?
13	A From the start of his employment I can't
14	remember what year that was until 2001.
15	Q Okay. And what was your start date with
16	with Amtrak?
17	A Two six ninety-two.
18	Q Okay. Do you remember an incident in or
19	around September of 1999 where Mr. Campbell sent an
20	e-mail and I believe it was to you where he was
21	accusing a gentleman by the name of Mike Chappel of
22	racism?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Can you tell me what you recall from that
25	incident?

Ca	ase 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 5 of 108
1	had to emanate from him him or one of his
2	representatives would complain about John in the
3	morning when I came to work, and then I would have to
4	go and find out what happened.
5	And I I don't remember all the
6	specifics
7	Q Sure.
8	A but how it wound up was, is, I did this
9	many, many times. They would complain about John,
10	repeatedly, and I would find out that John was it
11	was make-believe, or there was some other motivation,
12	or it wasn't essentially untrue.
13	So I put the mechanical department on notice
14	that I was not going to listen to these repeatedly
15	frivolous complaints about John unless there was
16	something substantive, and to knock it off.
17	Because every morning I'd come to work, and
18	they'd have some little nit-picky thing to complain
19	about John, and when I investigated I had several
20	employees like this, black, white, all you know,
21	either you know. People that they just singled out
22	to dislike, so they would just make frivolous comments
23	about, and just you know.
24	Anyway, my experience with John was, is, he
25	told the truth. He was confrontational when

especially when it dealt with safety, and I never found
John to be on the wrong side.
I usually would always have to side, after I
got the facts and separated the facts from all the
other stuff, that he was essentially right, and I I,
as his supervisor, trusted his judgment and trusted his
decisions, because I wasn't there at night, but I could
pretty much rely that he was going to tell me the
truth. Whether it was good or bad, he would always
tell me the truth.
Q Okay. I want to ask you a little bit of
follow-up on the mechanical department.
In addition to Mr. Bellotti, do you remember
who the other people were who would make complaints
about Mr. Campbell?
A Mike Fabian. Mike Bordenay. Jerry I
can't remember Jerry's last name. I worked with him
for years, too.
Q Anything remarkable about them that, you
know, you can recall, so we can perhaps identify them?
In other words, if he's six foot eight, or if he's, you
know, some
A Yeah, he's huge.
Q Okay.
A Jerry's huge. He's about a three-hundred-

.19

Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 6 of 108

Cas	c 4:05 cv 05434 CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 7 of 108
1	fifty-pound man.
. 2	Q That probably narrows it down a little.
3	A You know, I can't remember his last name. I
4	don't know why it escapes me.
5	Q Any particular position he held?
6	A Yeah. He was the general foreman.
7	Q Okay.
8	A All those guys were general foreman.
9	Q All right. Anyone else besides Mike Fabian
10	and, I think
11	A Mike Bordenay. I don't know how to spell his
12	name.
13	Q Okay. Anyone else?
14	A That's the principals.
15	Q Okay. Now, I know I know I'm trying to
16	get you to dredge up memories from a while ago, but can
17	you remember ever getting any complaint from Lou
18	Bellotti himself, where it became evident to you that
19	Lou's complaint was based somehow on Mr. Campbell's
20	race?
21	A Yes.
22	Q What do you recall?
23	A Well, I had lots of trouble with Lou. As
24	he was mechanical, and I was transportation, and he had
25	a different set of priorities than the transportation

Case 4	#05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 8 of 108
1	people did, and we had to work together to get the
2	trains out on time. That was our common mission.
. 3	And he liked to point to areas of blame.
4	He'd blame it on he would like to assign blame,
5	which would absolve him from trouble. I think that's
6	common with human beings. He would try to blame others
7	for different things.
8	And he liked to kind of, like well, in
9	and it's it was the plantation mentality. He you
10	know, he kind of acted like he was the lord and master,
11	and everybody beneath him wasn't as competent, and
12	wasn't as good as him and his managers.
13	And then this was prevalent with the
14	mechanical department, and that the people and they
15	were generally African Americans weren't somehow as
16	intelligent, or and they had to be they when
17	they were left to themselves, they couldn't make their
18	own decisions. They made poor decisions in these white
19	foremen's absence.
20	And I found that offensive, because I didn't
21	have that problem. For example
22	And then the conflict between Lou and John
23	was, is, at night, John Campbell was the foreman. John
24	Campbell was my representative. You know. He was in
25	charge as far as the transportation department was, and

4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 9 of 108
he called the shots. I trusted John, you know, after a
period of time.
John is physically big, and he is an African
American. Didn't make any difference to me. He was
good.
And Lou's attitude towards his own employees
would transfer into my the people that worked with
me in my department, and he just had real trouble
Lou always had trouble with John making decisions.
And that's what we paid him for, is, John was
very competent. He could make the decisions.
And then the trouble between Lou and I was, I
would always support John's positions, and he would try
to get me to undermine John. That was the dynamic that
I recall.
.Q Okay. I want to try to zero in on a couple
of comments you made, and then and then look back to
Mr. Bellotti.
You made a comment that this attitude that
existed in the mechanical department, that that
others didn't do their jobs as well, was prevalent, and
others didn't do their jobs as well, was prevalent, and what I'm wondering is, aside from Mr. Campbell, was
what I'm wondering is, aside from Mr. Campbell, was

Ca	se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 10 of 108
1	A Sure.
2	Q Who else were they targeting, do you think?
3	A They targeted the African American
4	electricians.
5	Q Can you give me any particular names?
6	A No. See, they worked for a different
7	department, but and then the coach cleaners were
8	predominantly African American, and, you know, they
9	were always faulting the coach cleaners for not doing a
10	good enough job. Mechanical. And You know.
11	And that's the that's the the
12	population down in Oakland, it's mostly African
13	American, that's the people that live there, and so
14	most of the people that work there, you know, I'd say
15	about sixty percent, maybe seventy percent, were black.
16	And so the predominant work force in Oakland
17	is black, but they don't hold any positions of
18	authority. They were never allowed to hold any
19	positions of authority.
20	And John, the problem I had with John is that
21	John was in a position where he really had some, you
22	know, authority at night, and I would support him,
23	because you know, and it didn't have anything to do
24	with his race. He was just good, just like anybody.
25	You know, he was good.

C	a se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 12 of 10/
1	mechanical department people make comments about the
2	car men?
3	A The car men are part of the mechanical
4	department, and yes, they would.
5	Q Okay. And with respect to the people who
6	worked in the commissary, was it predominantly an
. 7	African American work force?
8	A Yes.
9	Q And with respect to the car men, were they
10	predominantly an African American work force?
11	A That, and Hispanic.
12	Q Okay. And then what about the makeup of the
13	mechanical department itself? Was it largely
14	Caucasian? Was it largely African American? What was,
15	their make-up?
16	.A The bosses were all white, and the workers
17	were African American and/or Hispanic, with a few
18	females scattered in.
19	Q Okay. Now, when you say the bosses were
20	white, who were the bosses?
21	A Lou Bellotti, Mike Fabian, Mike Bordenay,
22	Jerry you know.
23	Q The big man?
24	A You know, anybody anybody not in the union
25	was was white and male.

Case 4	1 :05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 13 of 108
. 1	Q Okay. Then, when you mentioned that you
2	would commonly hear complaints from the mechanical
,3	department about Mr. Campbell, would the complaints
4	come from others who included those of African American
5	race?
6	A No.
7	Q Okay. So the complaints came from the people
8	that you're referring to as the bosses?
9	A That's right.
10	Q Okay. So now if if I focus my attention
11	on the people who were making complaints that you
12	believe are in part related to Mr. Campbell's race,
13	would the list be Mr. Chappel, who we've already talked
14	about, Mr. Bellotti, Mr. Fabian, Mr. Bordenay, and this
15	gentleman by the name of Jerry?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And what about Joe Deely? Did you
18	ever hear Joe Deely ever make any comments at all about
19	Mr. Campbell that you felt were
20	A Yes.
21	Q they were race related?
22	A Yes.
23	MR. JONES: Let me I'm not I caution
24	may be the wrong word, but let's be mindful of the fact
25	that you're talking about something that I completely

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

phone, where Mr. Bellotti was complaining about things
that happened at night. Specifically and I can't
remember you know, the detail but the person he was
complaining about was John Campbell.
Mr. Deely wanted to hear my side of it,
because I was responsible for John Campbell. I forget
what I said specific with you know, whatever
whatever Lou said, but I remember the one thing that
Joe said that I got upset with, is, Joe said, after I
had finished talking, "Mark, you understand that it's
your responsibility to keep him, John Campbell, in his
place."
To which I kind of jumped and said, "What
place is that, Joe?"
And he says, "You know."
And I said, "No. I would like you to tell
me. What place? Because obviously, we don't
understand one another."
And he says, "I'm not going to spell it out.
Everybody down there understands what I'm saying."
And I said, "I'm sorry, but I don't
understand."
But he never did answer me directly.
But you understand the feeling was, is
that I was supposed to squash him or something. I

Case	#:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 16 of 108
1	don't know.
2	Q Okay. Are there any other comments you
3	recall from Mr. Deely that were, you know, in your mind
4	similar to that?
5	A Yes.
6	Q Can you tell me what they were?
7	A People not knowing their place was a common
8	theme. He said that many times. And predominantly
9	when it involved African American or female employees.
10	That people didn't know their place, and it was my job
11	to put them in their place. I think that's about as
12	explicit as he got.
13	Q Okay. And and these other comments about
14	people not knowing their place were directed at
15	employees other than Mr. Campbell?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. Do you remember anyone in
18	particular
19	A Yes.
20	Q who it may have been directed at?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Who was it?
23	A Cynthia Hubbard.
24	Q Okay. Is Ms. Hubbard African American?
25	A She's part. I think. I think she's Puerto
L	

They only look at fixing them and checking things off 1 their list, whereas our job was to get the trains out 2 3 on time, and so that would often conflict. 4 And John's job was to get the trains out on 5 time, and to work with mechanical and make sure that that happened; and there was always a conflict, because .6 they wanted him to do things the way they wanted him to 7 do things, that weren't necessarily in the best 8 interests of Amtrak; and unlike a lot of people, John 9 would bring it to their attention, and would not back 10 down to any kind of pressure or any kind of 11 intimidation. 12 And John would constantly keep me informed, 13 which helped me manage, and helped me deal with Lou 14 Bellotti when there was problems, and helped me deal 15 with Joe Deely to give him accurate information; 16 because a lot -- you know, people, when they mess up, 17 they don't really want you to know what actually 18 19 happened. 20 And this would help me do my job better, and keep us in the clear; you know, keep everything 21 straight so that we could address the real problem 22 23 instead of personalities. 24 Okay. The conference call with you, Joe Q Deely, and Mr. Bellotti, was there anyone else on the 25

Ca	ış e 4:05-cv-05434-CW — Document 130 — Filed 03/27/2 008 <u>— Page 20 of 108</u>
1	able to tell John wanted to make sure that I had
2	both sides of the story so I could defend him, which
3	I you know, I found myself almost always doing.
4	Not just because of a you know, we weren't
5	personal friends then or anything, just on the merits
6	of what was going on.
7	I just, after a period of time, time after
8	time I find that this guy's been telling the truth, and
9	I'm getting stories, I just quit listening to these
10	other people.
11	Q Okay. Now, when John came and complained to
12	you about Lou Bellotti and the other folks from the
13	mechanical department, did he ever make complaints that
14	they had made racial statements?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. What do you recall in that regard?
17	A They had never called him the N-word. I know
18	it wasn't really overt.
19	But they would say things like "Boy," or,
20	"You don't know your place," or, "You people."
21	Subtle.
22	And John would it would upset John, these
23	commentaries. You know. This
24	And then he'd hear you know, and he would
25	ask me, you know, specifically, you know, what's going

1	on, and I would have to say I don't know, you know,
2	specifically, because I really didn't know what was in
3	their head.
4	And anyway and then he asked me
. 5	specifically, I think, on several occasions, you know,
6	what regard I held him in. You know. You know. If he
7	was doing a good job, or did he have a problem with
8	did I have a problem with him.
9	And he I remember one time he asked me,
10	you know, "Is it because I'm so big?" Because he's a
11	big man, and I'm not little either.
12	He says, "I'm big, and I'm black, and I
13	you know, some people tend to be intimidated. Do you
14	find me intimidating?" And I had to tell him no, you
15	know, I didn't.
16	But I told him that somebody could. You
17	know. I'm not you know, but I told him, I said,
18	"John, I don't find you that way."
19	Because he was worried and concerned that
20	he maybe something he was doing was wrong, and I
21	and I had to tell him no, I didn't really feel that
22	anything he was doing was wrong, he was just being
23	himself.
24	He wasn't abrasive or physically threatening
25	in any way, although he wouldn't take any you know.

Case	4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 22 of 108
1	he wouldn't be submissive when he was in the right. He
2	would not kowtow to anybody.
3	Q Okay. Now, you mentioned some statements
4	that were, you know, charged statements: The word
5	"Boy," the term, "You people," the term, "You don't
6	know your place."
7	Do you remember anything at all about who it
8	was that Mr. Campbell specifically identified making
9	those statements?
10	A Yes. It was the two Mikes, predominantly.
11	Mike Fabian and Mike Bordenay.
12	Q Okay.
13	A I don't remember I just remember the
14	frequency from them was really high.
15	And I had I had gone to Lou a couple of
16	times, and I and Lou was not sympathetic to what I
17	was saying, and in fact he shut me down and dismissed
18	me, and I thought he was part of it, so I never really
19	addressed him.
20	But it was the two Mikes that were really
21	overt about it.
22	Q Okay. Can you distinguish in any way what
23	Mike Fabian may have said from what Mike Bordenay may
24	have said?
25	A You know, in my mind, you know, like, I refer

Cas	se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 24 of 108
1	I said, "Well, fifty percent of my employees
2	are black, too. I don't understand what you're
3	saying."
4	He said, "Well, almost a hundred percent of
5	my employees are black, and," he says, "there's a
6	certain way you got to get performances out of these
7	people, and they don't have the same motivation."
8	And I said, "What are you talking about,
9	Mike?" You know. And he you know, he told me I did
10	not understand.
11	And that was and that was a common theme,
12	that I you know, I couldn't understand because I
13	wasn't dealing with African Americans all the time.
14	And I probably had maybe a third to a half
15	of the transportation employees were black, and really
16	there was no difference in what motivated one human
17	being over another human being. Everybody wanted to be
18	treated with respect. Everybody wanted
19	I didn't really see their point, and I would
20	argue with them at length over how they treated their
21	people, and I didn't like how they treated their
22	people, but I certainly didn't want them treating my
23	people, the people I was in charge of, in the same
24	manner. I took high exception.
25	And it was a daily thing. It was an ongoing
1	

С	ase 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 25 of 108
1	dispute or conflict between myself and the mechanical
2	department about their tactics and their treatment of
3	fellow employees.
4	Q Okay. That was Mike Fabian; right?
5	A Fabian.
6	Q Right. What about Mike Bordenay?
7	A Same difference.
8	Q Can you can you recall any particular
9	conversation that you had with him where the subject of
10	race came up
11	A Yes.
12	Q in some way?
13	What do you remember?
14	A He said and this is this is Bordenay.
15	Bordenay one day tried to convince me that black people
16	weren't as smart as white people, and they weren't
17	capable, and I should always you know, I shouldn't
18	always take the side of the employee; that I should
19	give him some credit for knowing how people work.
20	Which, you know, I couldn't do and I wouldn't do, and
21	it made me mad.
22.	And in John's situation, you know and this
23	is this is my understanding the problem I could
24	see was that, the problem with John was, John was
25	highly competent, very intelligent; in fact, I would
l	

Case 4	05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 26 of 108 say he was more gifted than the average person, and he
2	was very smart, and he could outthink. That's why he
3	was always the foreman. He was very good at how to do
4 .	things.
5 _	And he would make these guys look stupid all
6	the time, and they resented it. They very much
7	resented John being so intelligent.
8	And then they resented me after I would hear
9	the facts of the situation, support John based on the
10_	fact that it was a better decision; and they felt that,
11	you know, I was out of touch. I wasn't on the same
12	team they were.
13	Q Okay. Anything else in particular that you
14	can recall Mike Fabian go back to Mike Fabian, make
15	sure we've exhausted that anything else in
16	particular you can recall Mike Fabian saying that
17	suggested to you that he was racially biased?
18	A Not specific.
19	Q How about Mike Bordenay?
20	A Just the intelligence thing.
21	Q Okay. How about Jerry? We haven't covered
22	the gentleman by the name of Jerry.
23	A Jerry. Gosh, and I just about had his name.
24	The last name.
25	He was from the south, and he was a southern
L.	

Case 1	4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 27 of 108 boy, transplanted to the West Coast, and I and I	
2	lived in Kentucky for a little while, and I've	
3	traveled, and I understand, and I've seen it my own	
4	self that us in California, the people in California,	
5	especially Northern California, have a whole different	
6	attitude than the deep south and I'm talking about	
7	Caucasian people and he had more of the southern	
8	prejudices and biases. You know.	
9	And I was raised I was raised here in	
10	Northern California with this set of values and this	
11	kind of a political and social system, whereas he was	
12	raised that blacks lived on one set of the tracks, you	
13	know, and whites lived on the other, and he didn't	
14	he had a hard time all the time reconciling how we	
15	lived here on the West Coast, and how it really didn't	
16	matter, you know, and how money was or there was no	
17	social strata, and he was all the time making comments	
18	about that.	
19	Q Let me stop you for a second, because the	•
20	tail end of your answer, I think, begins to actually	
2/1	give me at least part of what I'm about to explore with	
22	you.	
23	You made comments about Jerry and his	
24	upbringing and his attitudes. What was it that you	
25_	actually saw Jerry do or heard Jerry say that led you	
L		-

Case	4:05-cv-05434-CVV Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 28 of 108
. 1	to draw these conclusions about him?
2	A Well, he'd say he'd make leading
3	statements. You know, "Growing up" and I forget
4	what place he said, "We never would allow something
5	like this to happen"; or, you know, "To my way of
- 6	thinking, or the way I was raised, you know, we would
7	have never allowed this, we would never allow this";
8	and, "How do these people think that they can talk to
9	us like this," and, you know, things like that.
10	Q Can you give me any more specifics that would
11	lead you to conclude that those were racially based
12	statements?
13	A Specifics?
14	Q Yeah.
15	A No.
16	Q Anything at all?
1,7	A No.
18	Q Okay. I mean, for instance, can you think of
19	an incident that occurred that led him to make a
20	statement such as, "We never would have let these
21	people do that?"
22	A Yes.
23	Q Okay.
24	A And I'm thinking about John Campbell.
25	John would speak right up, and Jerry one time

Casi	G + .00 CV 00+0+ CVV DOCUMENT 100 THE 00/21/2000 Tage 20 01 100
1	said, "He doesn't wait until he's invited to speak,"
2	which, John took offense to that and said, "Why do I
3	have to be invited to speak?"
4	And Jerry said, "Well, we didn't do that, you
5	know, where I come from," or he made a comment he
6	prefaced it by saying, "Where we come from, you know,
7	you're only allowed to speak if you're invited to
8	speak."
9	And that to me, that was just I
10	remember that because it was just, like, kind of
11	blew my mind. You know, it's it's like talking to a
12	child. You know. Or something like that.
13	And here was a grown man, and he was the one
14	that was actually doing the work, and he and my
15	in my mind, he was the one that really needed to say
16	something, because he's the one that actually did it.
17	He didn't need his actions and he needed to tell us
18	_what was going on, instead of being invited.
19	And he he wasn't shy at all. John was not
20	shy. I don't say he was offensive. He was he would
21	tell you right now, you know, whether it was good or
22	bad, whether you liked it or not, he would tell you
23	actually what happened.
24	Q Okay. Can you think of any other and I'll
25	do this from time to time. I don't mean to be trying

1	to badger you, that's not what I'm trying to do; just,
2	when I leave the deposition today, I want to know that
3,	I've gotten what you know out of it.
4	Any other incidents or statements that you
5	can recall in the workplace that you thought that
6	you observed someone doing something that was racially
7	biased?
8	A In terms of what?
9	Q Anything. I mean, you've talked today about
10	how the mechanical department would make racially
11	biased statements about the car men, about the coach
12	cleaners, the electricians, Mr. Campbell, people that
13	work in the commissary.
14	You've talked about Jerry and his attitudes,
15	Mike Bordenay, Mike Fabian, Lou Bellotti, Joe Deely,
16	and all of those, I think, were connected by a common
17	theme, that there was something racially motivated in
18	the statements or the events.
19	Can you think of anything else that
20	demonstrated in your mind any kind of racial bias?
21	A Yes, something just popped into my mind.
22	Q Okay.
23	A I was in the office, and I I shared the
24	office with a guy by the name of Tom Oughton.
25	O-U-G-H-T-O-N. He was also a service manager.

Case 1	4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 31 of 108 And John had made application for engine
2	service, to become an engineer, and we were holding
3	interviews, and it was our job to get these interviews
4 ~	lined up, and to prescreen applicants for engine
5	service.
6	And I distinctly remember Tom telling me that
7	he did not want John Campbell to be an engineer, and I
8	queried him why, because I felt that he was you
9	know.
10	And he said he wasn't intelligent enough,
11	and he said that he didn't know his place, and he was
12	not going to reward an uppity nigger with a better job.
13	Period.
14	And me and him had a big fight over that. A
15	big fight
16	· Q Okay. Now, those are those are some
17	pretty bad words.
18	A Yes.
19	Q That's something you have a real specific
20	recollection of?
21	A Yeah. Because it was so outrageous.
22	O Okay. Now, tell me a little bit about
23	Mr. Oughton. What was his position?
24	A He was a service manager at Oakland.
25	Q % Økay.
L	

Ca	se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 32 of 108
1	A Had been, a year before I got there.
2	Q Okay. And what was his role in the promotion
3	process? Other than the prescreening of the
4	applicants, what was his role?
5	A His role was to sit on the interview, and
6	when we would have engine service interviews, a
7	representative from the union is usually invited; a
8	human relations, or human HRD, which is personnel,
9	would sit in; and a supervisor, myself or him, or
10	somebody that was involved in engine service.
11	And we would ask a series of questions,
12	interview, and then we would take a vote, and out of
13	and we'd score the applicants, and if there was ten
14	positions, we'd talk we'd take the top ten
15	applicants by score.
16	. So what Tom was going to do was throw John's
17	application in the garbage, and he did so in front of
18	me. I pulled it out of the garbage, said, "That's not
19	right. Put it back in the pile." I took it out, and
20	that's when we had that conversation.
21	Because I did not you know, he had done
22	this, and I just you know, I just he threw his
23	his application in the garbage, and I said, "What are
24	you doing?"
25	And that's that's when our conversation

convinced, you know, with my confrontation with Tom and with how John had been treated after I'd left, that maybe there was something else going on. That's just my supposition.

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. You mentioned the fact that the oneyear rule had been waived frequently. Can you remember any particular employees for whom it was waived?

Cas	s p 4:05 cv 05434 CW - Document 130 - Filed 03/27/2008 - Page 37 of 108 -
1	A Tony Onisko.
2	Q Can you spell the last name?
3	A O-N-I-S-K-O. He was one of the outstanding
4	people.
5	Q Okay.
6	A And I just thought of him immediately,
7	because he was the most outstanding. I mean, real
8	spectacular person.
9	As an aside, though, he also, when he was a
10	foreman, he also had the same type of problem with
11	mechanical that John did, and the same type of
12	confrontation.
13	Q Was Mr. Onisko African American?
14	A No.
15	Q Any ethnic group that Mr. Onisko identified
16	with, to your knowledge?
17	A No.
18	Q Caucasian?
19	A Caucasian.
20	Q Okay.
21	A Very outspoken.
22	Q Okay. Anyone else for whom the one-year rule
23	was waived, that you can recall?
24	A I don't have any specifics off the top of my
25	head. Just the biggest one, I can remember.

Jase 4:0	15-cv-05434-Cvv Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 41 of 108
1	didn't want to hear any complaints about it, and it was
2	my job to make it go away.
3	Q Do you remember any particular incident that
4	occurred that led you to go back to your supervisor to
5	bring this subject up again?
6	A Yes.
7	Q What was it?
8	A An engineer, his name was Richard Barrow, a
9	black engineer, passed away, and we loved him. I loved
10	him, Jay loved him. He was like family.
11	And shortly after that happened, after the
12	funeral and everything, you know, I kind of pressed Jay
13	to make some of this racial stuff because of our
14	mutual feeling about Richard, and about how we felt
15	about him and what kind of a good guy he was, that we
16	could maybe address the race. You know, I was trying
17	to capitalize on that feeling with him to get him to,
18	you know, open it up again.
19	And he really he got mad at me, and asked
20	me well, commanded me never to bring race up again
21	in his presence.
22	Q Okay.
. 23	A He said it was just too big of a problem.
24	Q Did he elaborate on that at all when he
25	commented that it was too big of a problem? Did he say

Cas	se 4:05-cv-05434-Cvv Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 42 of 108 what he meant?
2	A Yeah. He said it would get him fired and me
3	fired.
4	Q Okay. Did you ever speak with anyone a level
5	or so higher than your direct supervisor about the
6	subject of race in the workplace?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Who was that?
9	A Joe, the next level. Joe Deely.
10	Q And that was Joe Deely. Now, have we already
11	covered the conversations you had with Joe Deely about
12	racial issues, or is this a different set of
13	circumstances that we haven't talked about?
14	A This is this is a different set of
15	circumstances.
16	. Q Okay. Tell me what you recall discussing
17	with Joe Deely.
18	A I told him that I had talked to Jay about
19	some of the racial problems that were going on and some
20	of the conversations, not just John Campbell, but there
21	were several other things, and told him that I was
22	really upset about things.
23	And he asked me what Jay said. I told him,
24	and he said, "That's where it stops." That we didn't
25	want to open the race situation.

Cas 1	He said that and I didn't feel like it
2	was I felt it was disingenuous, but I got that
3	feeling that he sympathized with the way I felt about
4	things, but there was nothing that he would do, and it
5	was in my own self-interest to drop it.
6	Q Did your answer, I think, included your
7	feelings about what he was saying. Do you remember
8	specifically the words that he was using?
9	A No. He didn't really talk. He acted like he
10	didn't want to be there and have any kind of
11	conversation with me; and if you know Mr. Deely, you
12	know that he's pretty abrupt and short with people, and
13	I knew what I was suggesting was uncomfortable.
14	And he said that, "There's the way things
15	are, and not the way things we'd like to be," and as
16	much as I wanted to change the world, that this
17	things were just the way things were, and it wasn't my
18	job to, you know, try to change the world, and to just
19	leave matters alone; and if I wanted to continue in
20	employment, that I would leave matters alone.
21	Q Was the now, that that statement, "if
22	you want to continue in employment," is that something
23	that you felt, or is that related to words that he
24	used?
25	A No, that was the specific words. He said,

1_	"If you want to continue as an officer of the
2	corporation, I highly suggest that you leave this
3	alone, Mark, and drop it."
4	<u>Q</u> Okay.
5	A He says and I remember clearly I can
6	remember those words. And we were in the Sacramento
7	depot when he had that conversation with me.
8	Q Okay. Now, was the conversation that you had
9	with Mr. Deely, that you just related, one that also
10	followed Mr. Barrow's death?
11	A Shortly after that.
12	Q And was was that the motivating
13	event that led you to go to speak to Mr. Deely?
14	A Well, I'd already spoke to Jay, and Jay, he
15	wouldn't talk, so the next step was to talk to Joe.
16	And I was motivated at that time talking to
17	Joe about Tom Oughton. I was really having trouble
18	with Tom Oughton. Extreme difficulty. He and I
19	were and it was widely known that we just fought and
20	fought and fought, and it was getting to the point
21	where I was thinking about quitting over it, and so,
22	before I made up my mind what I was going to do, I went
23	and talked to Joe.
24	Q You mentioned that you fought a lot with
25	Mr. Oughton. Over what subjects?

Case	4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 45 of 108
. 1	view related in some way to racial issues in the
2	workplace?
3	MR. MOORE: Objection; mischaracterizes the
4	witness's testimony. He didn't testify he had
5	confrontations with Mr. Oughton.
6	MR. JONES: You can respond if you understand
7	the question, and if you wouldn't characterize anything
8	as a confrontation, you just let me know.
9	THE WITNESS: I wouldn't say it was
10	confrontations in an aggressive sense, but we had
11	words, and I expressed myself about his attitude, the
12	way he wanted to run things. The way he treated
13	African Americans, the way he treated the women. The
14	way he treated people in general.
15	That it wasn't conducive to business,
16	primarily. It wasn't businesslike, and this wasn't a
17	personal kingdom, this was a business. And I happened
18	to love my job, and I you know, I wanted to, you
19	know, keep the corporation going, and that he was
20	ruining things.
21	Q (By Mr. Jones) Okay. Anything else you can
22	recall in more detail?
23	A I mentioned how he treated Cookie. That was
24	her nickname, Twilba Simpson.
25	I had another clerk, his name was Papa

ase	4.05-07-05454-077 Document 130 Filed 03/21/2008 Page 46-01-108
1	Senaka. He was a Nigerian, from Nigeria. He was
2	educated at Oxford. He was more educated than myself
3	or Tom.
4	Where Tom tried to keep him down and not
5	allow him to do things, I would ask him to write
6	reports for me, and help me to do things that were a
7	little bit outside of his scope as the clerk, because
8	he was so much more talented and educated than I was;
9	and Tom took high exception with that. He said that
10	was improper.
11	And he didn't have very good English vocally,
12	but, you know, on paper he was
13	And he he and I had a discussion about
14	keeping Papa down, because Papa wanted to transfer, and
15	wanted to, you know, be in management, and I was going
16	to help him, and Tom didn't want to help him, and
17	wanted to make sure that he wasn't promoted.
18	Q What was it in particular you recall Tom
19	saying about that?
20	A Well, it was also about him being black, but
21	the fact that he was an African, from Africa, that he
22	was a foreigner and working on a he wasn't a
23	citizen, and he didn't feel that that those jobs
24	should go to people like that.
25	And that was his and like that meant

1	you know, I don't know what it meant.
2	Q Okay. Now, what I want to try to do is see
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	So can you remember any particular
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	A Yes. He said specifically I can just
13	this just popped into my mind.
14	Papa liked to wear a shirt and a tie, and he
15	would wear a suit to work, and he looked very
16	professional, and I thought that was cool, even though
17	I was not a suit and tie guy.
18	And he ordered Papa not to wear a suit, not
19	to wear a tie, not to look that way, because, "You
20	people shouldn't dress like that."
21	And that's and Papa wanted to know what he
22	meant, and he was very upset with him.
23	He said, you know, he says he says, "I
24	determine the dress code here, and you will not wear a
25	shirt and tie, because that is not your station in

1	life."
2	Q Okay. Now, did you learn about that
3	statement through Papa?
4	A No. I was there for that confrontation.
5	Q Okay.
6	A And how it how it developed was, is that
7	Tom had went to Papa and said something to him that I
8	wasn't privy to, but then Papa got me in my office and
9	said, "Mark, you told me that it was okay and you liked
10	it. Now here's Tom telling me I can't do it. What's
11	the deal?"
12	And that's how the conversation came up where
13	I was included.
14	Q Okay.
15	A Otherwise, I I probably wouldn't have
16	heard about it.
17	Q Now, when you say you were included, included
18	in what sense? So then after you and Papa were
19	meeting, was there a meeting where all three
20	A All three of us were present. Papa wanted me
21	to to tell Tom that I told him it was okay.
22	Q Okay. And did you do that?
23	A Sure
24	Q What was Tom's response at that point?
25	A Tom said that, "You and I don't dress like
25	A Tom said that, "You and I don't dress like

Case	e <u>4:05-cv-05434-CW</u> Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 49 of 108
1	A Not off the top of my head.
2	Q Okay. So we've talked about the gentleman
3	from the mechanical department, we talked about Joe
4	Deely, Jay Commer, Mr. Oughton.
5	Any any other people that you recall
6	having discussions with, where the issue of race in the
7	workplace was was the subject?
8	A Yes.
9	Q Who?
10	A I had discussions with John Campbell. That's
11	who we're here talking about.
12	Q Okay.
13	A Specifically, John sought my help. He asked
14	me, you know, if if there was some racism going on,
15	and I told him in the affirmative. Yeah. I
16	personally, my personal belief was, yes.
17	And he asked me if I if I personally hated
18	him. You know. Because he said that, you know, he
19	just felt there was management was just down on him;
20	and I said "No, in fact, I like you." You know.
21	And he said, "Do others feel the same way?"
22	And I think I responded by saying, "John,
23	you're going to have to ask them the way you asked me.
24	I can't really answer for them."
25	But yeah, he was several times, you know,

Ca	s ¢ 4:0 5-cv-05434-CW - Document 130 - Filed 03/27/2008 - Page 50 of 108
1	he was pretty distraught about how he was being
2	treated. He sought me out several times. You know.
3	And he said, "Mark, you treat me decently,
4	and" and he said, "but I just keep feeling people
5	
6	to dislike me so bad."
7	Q Okay. Do you remember when it was that he
8	came to you and asked you whether or not you liked him?
9	A No. Not not specific time frames. I know
10	that there was a couple of little events that he was
11	involved in. One was a split switch, that he was on a
12	crew, and the other one was when he was with another
13	crew, and they shoved off the end of the fume track,
14	and there was some trouble that I had to handle with
15	him, and he was involved in it.
16	And then he was worried that he was going to
17	get fired or mistreated, and I assured him, as long as
18	I was around and I had anything to say about it, nobody
19	was going to get mistreated.
20	Q Okay.
21	A And the two events that I'm I don't I
22	don't really remember all of the specifics of it,
23	but
24	Q And if you're about to go into the specifics
25	of those events, let's cover them sort of in an orderly

4:05-cy-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 51 of 108

1	Q Okay.
2	A that that were contemporaries of John
3	in the transportation part of it.
4	Q Okay.
5	A Nate Givens in the mechanical department, he
6	was a foreman in the mechanical department, and I had
7	lots of conversations with Nate, and and he is an
8	African American because he always felt that Lou and
9	Mike Fabian and Mike Bordenay and Jay and Joe were
10	putting him in a no-win situation, you know, and not
11	And he was always he felt, as a foreman in
12	the coach yard, mechanical, he wasn't given his level
13	of respect that he had earned. Multiple times Nate had
14	talked to me about that.
15	Q Anyone else?
16	A I can't I can't think of, you know,
17	specifics, where I had like real poignant
18	conversations. These people I just mentioned, I had
19	some good heart-to-heart discussions about it.
20	Q Okay. Let's go to Cynthia Hubbard, and I
21	want to see if you can recall, in any of your
22	discussions with Cynthia, was there a particular event
23	that you recall occurring that led to a discussion with
24	Cynthia?
25	A Yes.

1	Q What do you remember?
2	A We had a male in express contract that
3	required a special switch engine at night, and I was
4	having real trouble managing everything, because I was
5	all by myself, managing the entire operation, so I
6	designated Cynthia as what they call a yard master,
7	made her a supervisor, and a guy by the name of Ron
8	I can't think of Ron's last name. I can't believe I
9	just
10	Q That's okay.
11	A Gruber, Ron Gruber. He's white, she's I
12	think she's Puerto Rican. I don't know.
13	But they were equal in authority, and I made
14	Ron work nights, and she worked days.
15	And she worked with the same people, and she
16	would come to me and said, you know, "How come Ron has
17	it so much easier and can get along with the bosses,
18	whereas they constantly pooh-pooh all my ideas? I
19	don't get any support from the bosses. They constantly
20	challenge everything that I got, and I'm getting picked
21	on here."
22	Q And who were the bosses?
23	A The same people we've mentioned.
24	Q Okay.
25	A And she wanted to know why she was being

1	treated different.
2	Q Okay.
3	A And she did a really good job. She did an
4	excellent job. And she eventually was fired because of
5	it, or let go.
6	Right after I right after I wasn't there
7	to support her, I had resigned, just, like, within a
8	week or two, they let her go, because but Ron
9	continued in his job.
10	Q Okay. Were you were you involved in
11	any in other words, in sort of a firsthand knowledge
12	kind of way, were you involved in any of the events
13	relating to Cynthia Hubbard where she felt she was
14	being criticized unfairly?
15	A Yes.
16	. Q Were there a couple of them, a whole bunch of
17	them?
18	A Whole bunch of them.
19	Q Okay. Did you draw any conclusions that
20	someone was criticizing her unfairly based upon her
21	race?
22	A Well, yeah. Upon her race and the fact that
23	she was a woman.
24	You know, that was another thing that they
25	had to bring up all the time, is that, you know, she

And Richard -- you know, I can remember the day he came in my office, very upset, you know, and was asking why. Was it because it -- because of, you know, him being black, them not liking his dad? You know, what was Tom Oughton's problem with his son?

22

23

24

1	any?
2.	A Yes.
3	Q Okay. What I'd like to do is, because with
4	union grievances there will be documents that will
5	reflect what occurred, at least partly
6	A Yes.
. 7	Q what I'm wondering is, maybe, are there
8	others that occurred that there wouldn't necessarily be
9	records of?
10	A Right. Well, there's one. The big one is
11	that he was dating Laurette Lee, who is a white
12	conductor.
13	Q He, being Richard Barrow?
14	A Richard Barrow.
15	Q Senior?
16	A Senior.
17	And there was all kinds of nasty talk about
18	that, really, and it was upsetting the workplace, that
19	a black man was dating a white woman. All kinds of
20	stupid stuff like that.
21	And you know, he was sick of it, she was sick
22	of it. Their private lives were their own private
23	lives. People were talking about them constantly, and
24	it was upsetting to a lot of different people.
25	Q Who do you recall making statements about

1 Mr. Barrow and Ms. Lee? 2 I never heard anybody personally. You know, 3 it was all hearsay, innuendo and stuff like that, and 4 whispering. 5 But nobody wanted to seem to -- why Richard approached me was, no manager seemed to want to put 6 their foot down and come out on record and put a stop 7 to it. 8 9 So I -- I'm thinking -- you know, and this 10 is, you know, years later, I've reflected upon it, and I think the reason he sought me out is, he thought that 11 he could get a fair shake from me, and he thought that 12 13 I was either reckless enough or not political enough to where I would actually --14 15 And I actually did. I actually, you know, 16 made it well known that, if I ever heard anything about 17 it, if anybody ever made anything, that we would take 18 care of it immediately, and -- whereas the other 19 managers, they didn't want to weigh into it. 20 Q Okay. What about with respect to Kerry Hill? Was there any particular event that occurred that led 21 you into a discussion with Ms. Hill over race? 22 23 A Well, he's a guy. 24 Q Okay. 25 Α It's a man.

Car	se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 60 of 108
1	He's actually a better engineer, to tell you
2	the truth. More knowledgeable. You know, and I worked
3	as his assistant years before. Why wasn't he selected,
4	and why was I selected to be the boss?
5	And his comment was, "Why does, any time
6	there's a management decision, does the African
7	American get excluded from management?"
8	Because there wasn't any. There was none.
9	Joe Deely had never promoted an African American and
10	over the those many years.
11	Q Let me if you know, let's start with
12	you personally. In order to get that promotion that
13	you just discussed into the management position, did
14	you have to file an application, bid on a job, anything
15	of that sort?
16	A No. He Joe Deely asked me
17	Q Okay.
18	A to work for him as a manager.
19	Q Okay. Do you know if I mean, was there
20	a sort of a posted position?
21	A Yes.
22	Q Do you know if your friend, Mr. Hill,
23	actually applied for the position?
24	A Yes, I know that he did.
25	Q Okay. Was there an interview that had to

Cas	e 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 61 of 108
1	occur?
2	A I didn't get one.
3	Q You didn't get interviewed?
4	A No. They just put me right in. Just stuck
5	me right in.
6	Q Okay. Do you know if Mr. Hill was ever
7	interviewed?
8	A I don't know.
9	Q Okay. All right. What about with respect to
10	Mel Jackson? Was there a particular event, or
11	MR. MOORE: Well, Mel Jackson? I thought it
12	was Milt Jackson.
13	THE WITNESS: Mel. M-E-L.
14	MR. MOORE: Okay.
15	Q (By Mr. Jones) With respect to Mel Jackson,
16	was there a particular event or events that led to your
17	discussion with Mr. Jackson about race?
18	A Yeah. Mel Jackson was the conductor on
19	Richard Barrow and Laurette Lee's train. He was the
20	conductor. She was the assistant. Richard was the
21	engineer when this was all hitting.
22	And since Mel was in charge of the train, and
23	he's I respect Mel, I went to him, you know, because
24	there was a lot of personalities. I went to him, and I
25	said, "How is this affecting your train?"

Ca	a <mark>se 4.05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 62 of 108</mark>
1	Q Let me stop you for just a brief second.
2	When you're saying "this," are you referring to the
3	fact that there are these sort of inappropriate
4	statements in the workplace about Mr. Barrow and
5	Ms. Lee?
6	A Yes.
7	Q Okay. Continue.
8	A So, he was a conductor.
9	So I said, "You know, Mel, you know, you're
10	out here every day with these two people and all this
11	controversy. You know. Is there something you see
12	that I can do? Is there is there something you know
13	that I don't know? Is there some advice you could give
14	me? You know, because you're here. You're in charge
15	on a day-to-day basis."
16	And so he talked to me. I can't remember all
17	of what he said. You know. I think I think the
18	feeling that he left me with is, just do the best you
19	can, and let go of the rest of it. You know, don't let
20	it canker your soul. I think that was an actual word
21	he used. "Don't let this bitterness and evil canker
22	your own soul. Just do the best you can, and let the
23	rest go."
24	Q Okay. Can you think of any other events that
25	occurred in the workplace while you were employed at

you know, I told him, I said, "People have been talking about the fact that you, you know, wouldn't even come to the Christmas party and, you know, socialize in the least bit with, you know, the employees, and, you know, maybe rub elbows and eat dinner with us, and, you know, act like a human being. You know. People are really

22

23

24

1 offended by that." And he said, "I am not going to go out and 2 associate with those people" -- and he said "those 3 people" -- "on my own time, and listen to their music." 4 5 And I said, "Joe, what do you mean by that?" He says, "Well, you know, it's all black, 6 7 it's an all black party, and they choose the music, and they choose the location, and I'm just not going to 8 9 their party." 10 And that was the most outrageous thing that he had ever said in my presence, and that's when I knew 11 that it wasn't just talk, and I knew that there was 12 something to what people were saying, because he 13 actually told me, man to man, face to face. 14 15 And what prompted it was because it was causing so much dissension, you know, that he boycotted 16 17 the employee party. And he told me he didn't want to go because 18 those people -- the majority of the employees were 19 black, and he wasn't going to go listen to rap music 20 and -- and associate with them on his own time. 21 22 He said, "At work I have to associate with them, and -- but," he said, "on my own time, I'm not 23 24 going to." 2.5 Okay. I want to again just make sure I'm Q

1	way in this incident?
2	A Yeah. Lou was you know, Lou, before he
3	turned Nate loose, should have clued him in on how all
4	that worked.
5	Q Okay. But Nate wasn't complaining to you
6	about Mr. Bellotti, was he?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Explain that part of it to me.
9	A He was afraid that there were significant
10	portions of information that for example, how to
11	work with the switch crew that had been omitted
12	purposefully by his supervisors, and that that was a
13	form he was being set up to fail, and so he asked me
14	if there was any information I could give him that
15	could help him overcome that absence of information.
16	.Q Okay. Did did you get involved in any
17	kind of investigation to find out if in fact he had
18	been a victim of some kind of a set-up?
19	A No. I had enough problems.
20	Q Understood. Okay.
21	Then you mentioned during the morning session
22	that, with respect to Mr. Campbell, there were a few
23	disciplinary events that occurred, and I believe you
24	mentioned something about a split switch
25	A Right.

Case 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008

Cas	e 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 66 of 108
1	Q and then something about a fume track.
2	A Yeah. They went off the end of the fume
3	track.
4	Q Okay. Now, can you explain to me what you
5	mean when you say that there was a disciplinary event
6	with a split switch?
7	A Well, switches are rail, and they're rigid
8	switches in the coach yard, so if it was aligned
9	against you and you went through it anyway, you would
10	bend the rail up; just, you know, damage the switch and
11	render it unsafe and inoperable; and John Campbell's
12	job, and the whole crew's job, is to make sure that
13	those switches are aligned correctly for the train's
14	movement or the switch engine's movement.
15	The thing I remember about John Campbell
16	was is that, I forget what switch it was, but a
17	switch was split, a switch was damaged. The next
18	morning, I asked him what happened.
19	And my policy was, back then, is that if
20	somebody would just tell the truth and own up to it,
21	then we'd just deal with that person, and we didn't
22	have to go drag the entire crew in and be involved. If
23	somebody would just own up to the mistake, and they'd
24	take responsibility for what they did, then we didn't
25	have to investigate the whole crew.

1	And then my supervisor at the time was Ben
2	Barnes, and he got wind of it, and he pulled the whole
3	crew out of out of service pending an investigation
4	and a drug test.
5	And anyway, in two days later, after
6	after the initial contact, the engineer, which was
7	Denny Carroll, who's a supervisor now at Amtrak, he
8	finally came forward and admitted to me that he had
9	fallen asleep briefly, and and ran through the
10	switch, and that it wasn't John's fault, or I can't
11	remember who the other person on the crew was.
12	That was it, and I think I just kicked John.
13	I don't think I don't think I did anything to John,
14	or there was any action taken against John.
15	The engineer took responsibility for it after
16	he thought about it for a couple of days, instead of
17	you know, telling the truth immediately, and
18	And I put John right back to service. I
19	think. I don't and I don't even think I wrote it
20	up. But that
21	And then the other time was
2,2	Q Let's let's, before we go to that, was the
23	other time the fume track one?
24	A Yeah. That was the next event.
25	Q Let's get to that in a moment I want to ask

2

1 you a few follow-up questions on the split switch.

What do you recall about your interaction with Mr. Campbell over the split switch, when you went to talk to him about it?

A I talked to each one as a crew. They all three of them were there. And John didn't say anything. You know.

Q Let me see if I'm understanding. When you say --

A When I asked him what happened.

Q Were they all there as a group?

A They were all there as a group, and they asked for union representation before they were questioned, so I just stopped the interview, you know, because -- to wait for their representative to get there --

And I took them in for a drug test, and then, when -- and then I really never got a chance to interview anybody.

But then, before I got -- before I interviewed them, the engineer came forward all by himself, without John or -- I forget who the other guy was -- and said, "Hey, I did it. You know. I'll tell you -- I don't need my union guy with me," he says.
"I'll just tell you what happened."

Esquire Deposition Services
1801 I Street, Sacramento, CA 916-448-0505

```
1
               Okay. Did it ever become evident to you that
          0
    Mr. Campbell knew about it, the split switch, but
 2
 3
    didn't report it?
 4
               MR. MOORE: Object to the form of the
 5
    question.
 6
               THE WITNESS:
                             No.
 7
         Q
               (By Mr. Jones)
                               Okay. Do you know one way or
    the other whether or not Mr. Campbell knew about the
 8
 9
    split switch?
10
              Yeah, he knew about it.
11
         Q
              Okay.
12
              The crew turned themselves in.
                                               I don't know
    which member of the crew. They said, "Hey, we damaged
13
14
    a switch.
               We had a boo-boo out here."
                                             I mean, we
15
    didn't get into the nuts and bolts of it.
16
              But that -- that triggered a mandatory drug
    and alcohol test, and I took everybody to the clinic to
17
18
    get --
19
              Once they invoke -- once they said they
   wanted their union representative, I was done with
20
           I mean, I wasn't going to ask them any questions
21
22
   without representation.
23
              And then, you know, then the other thing is,
24
   between the time that they get tested and we get the
   results back, they're medically disqualified, meaning
25
```

ase 4	ρ5-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 71 of 108
1	Q Did he indicate when it was that the crew
2	told him?
3	A I can't remember that. He probably did, but
4	I can't remember those kind of details now.
5	Q Okay. All right. Now, you indicated that
6	it's your recollection that Mr. Campbell wasn't
7	disciplined over this event.
8	A I didn't discipline him, because he wasn't
9	responsible. Somebody took responsibility. The only
10	thing I remember about that event is that, when Denny
11	Carroll came forward and said, "Hey, look, I did it,"
12	you know, "I'm the one, nobody else," you know, as soon
13	as I got the drug tests back from John Campbell and
14	whoever the other guy was, I put them right back to
15	work.
16	Q Okay.
17	A And I think I paid them, too, for their time
18	off.
19	Q Okay, then, with regard to this incident,
20	the split switch, was there anything at all that you
21	uncovered that led you to conclude that Mr. Campbell
22	was treated unfairly based upon his race?
23	A I did not even know he got disciplined for it
24	until much later. I thought I didn't even think we
25	were even I thought it was already taken care of,

1	and he wasn't disciplined about it.
2	I certainly didn't discipline him, and I was
3	his immediate supervisor, because he wasn't involved.
4	I I did not even count this as a disciplinary event
5	for John Campbell.
6	Q Okay. So you weren't involved in any
7	subsequent proceedings that may have led to discipline
8	on this event?
9	A I would have had to have initiated it, and
10	I'm telling you I didn't initiate any discipline.
11	Q Okay, but my question's a little different.
12	My question is, if there was some subsequent action
13	that that resulted in discipline to Mr. Campbell
14	over the split switch, it's not something you were
15	involved with?
16	MR. MOORE: Objection; assumes facts not in
17	evidence; and speculative.
18	THE WITNESS: No.
19	Q (By Mr. Jones) Did you have any discussions
20	with anyone about any disciplinary process that may
21	have occurred with Mr. Campbell over the split switch,
22	after the point in time where you concluded that you
23	yourself would not initiate discipline?
24	A No.
25	Q Now, did did this split switch, to your

Case	4:05-cv-05434-CVV Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 73 of 108
1	recollection, occur in March of 2000? Would March 24
2	of the year 2000 be the time that this event occurred?
3	A That could be right.
4	Q Okay. Now, the next event that I want to
5	talk to you about is, I think, the one that you were
6	discussing that related to the fume track, and would
7	that be the event that occurred on January 10th, 2002?
8	A Probably.
. 9	Q Okay. I just want to read something to you,
10	and you tell me
11	MR. MOORE: Well, if you're going to read it
12	to him, you need to identify it for the record and
13	enter it in the record.
14	MR. JONES: I don't necessarily have to
15	MR. MOORE: It has to be an exhibit if you're
16	going to read it.
17	MR. JONES: I am going to read it.
18	MR. MOORE: You're not going to read it in
19	this deposition. How are you going to read it when we
20	don't know whether you're reading it right or not?
21	MR. JONES: You can state your objection.
22	MR. MOORE: You mean you're going to tell me
23	that you can refer to a document, you don't identify
24	it, and don't make it part of the record?
25	(The reporter asked counsel
1	

	(ase 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 74 of 2
1	And it was just only one wheel set of one
2	boxcar out on the pavement. It wasn't really a big
3	deal at all.
4	Q Okay. You mentioned the engineer's name was
5	Billy Burkett; is that right?
6	A Correct.
7	Q His race is what?
8	A White.
9	Q Okay. And you said you made a recommendation
10	that he be terminated? Was that
11	A No. Disqualified from teaching the students.
12	Q Okay. I see.
13	A He had a student with him, and he failed to
14	properly demonstrate how to do that task.
15	Q Do you know if he was disqualified?
16	A He was.
17	Q Okay. Who had you made the recommendation
18	to?
19	A Jay Commer.
20	Q Okay. And when did your employment with
21	Amtrak end?
22	A January 10th, 2007.
23	Q Okay. Was there anything about the
24	termination of your employment that you believe was in
25	any way related to issues that you had previously

Case	4:05-cy-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 75 of 108
1	other. I don't know. Because that was that
2	transitional period. I don't know where he was
3	exactly, because it's been years ago.
4	Q Okay. And then do you have any any
5	knowledge one way or the other over regarding
6	whether or not Mr. Shelton was either on Mr. Campbell's
7	side in trying to get him promoted, or not?
8	A I have no idea.
9	Q Okay. Now, what about with respect to
10	Mr. Deely? How is it that you know Mr. Deely was
11	involved in the decisionmaking over the promotions that
12	Mr. Campbell requested?
13	A Mr. Deely either endorsed or vetoed whatever
14	the interview committee he was the last word on
15	whether somebody got hired or fired. That was where
16	the buck stopped.
17	Q Okay. Now, with regard to how the decisions
18	were reached when Mr. Campbell sought promotion to
19	engineer, do you know in particular what Mr. Deely was
19 20	engineer, do you know in particular what Mr. Deely was contributing to that process?
20	contributing to that process?
20	contributing to that process? A No.
20 21 22	contributing to that process? A No. Q Did Mr. Deely ever talk to you and give you

Cas	e 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 76 of	108
1	we were looking for.	
2	Q Okay. Can you can you recall any	,
3	Caucasian employees who got promoted into the positi	on ·
4	of engineer, who you thought shouldn't have been, du	е
5	to a safety record that was too egregious?	
6	A Yes.	
7	Q Okay. Who was that?	
8	A I can't recall. There were several.	
9	Q Okay. And what were, if you can recall, wi	nat
10	were the safety violations that you saw in their	
11	history that led you to believe they should not have	
12	been promoted?	
13	A Mainly it was, mistakes were made, and	
14	corrective action wasn't taken. The main name that	
15	just jumped into my mind was John Lahadern, who had a	an
16	attendance	
17	Q Was that Lahadern?	:
18	A Lahadern. I can't remember how to spell	
19	that. Probably how it sounds. He was the most	
20	egregious one.	į
21	Q You said that was an attendance problem?	
22	A Among other things.	
23	Q What else do you what do you remember	
24	about Mr. Lahadern?	
25	A He was caught sleeping on the job several	

1	times.	
2	Q In what sense, sleeping on the job? First of	
3	all, let's ask, what was his position?	
4	A He was a train man.	
5	Q And what was he doing that was a safety	
6	violation?	
7	A No safety glasses. No safety shoes. All the	
8	mistakes that a junior guy can make. He had he had	
9	to have been repeatedly instructed. Hard learner,	
10	really difficult to train.	
11	Q Do you remember ever, in Mr. Lahadern's	
12	record, anything similar to a boxcar going off of a	
13	fume track?	
14	A Yeah. Rough couplings. You know. That	
15	happens quite a bit.	
16	.Q Well	
17	A I don't know of any derailment. I don't know	
18	that he put a car on the ground or anything like that.	
19	Q Do you have a specific recollection that	
20	there were hard couplings, or you're just assuming	
21	there were?	
22	A Assuming.	
23	Q Okay.	
24	A Everybody does when they first begin.	
25	Everybody it takes a while to develop that skill.	
ı		

Ca	se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 78 of 108
1	Q Okay. What about cutting brake cylinders?
2	Do you know if Mr. Lahadern ever cut brake cylinders
3	out of
4	A No, I have no firsthand knowledge of that.
5	Q Okay. And what about reporting a damaged
6	switch? Do you know if Mr. Lahadern ever had been
7	disciplined for failing to report a damaged switch?
8	A I don't know that either.
9	Q Okay. So what was it in particular about
10	Mr. Lahadern that you thought should have disqualified
11	him from being promoted to engineer?
12	A Well, first of all, it was his general
13	attitude and his professionalism, and the fact that I
14	had to repeatedly talk to him.
15	But he was Jay Commer's dad's friend, and I
16	was repeatedly told to let him keep going, let him keep
17	going, let him keep going.
18	In fact, I tried to disqualify him from
19	engine service entirely, and he was promoted anyway,
20	and with the result of, about two months later he
21	went through his second red signal, and they ultimately
22	had to terminate him, because he fell asleep. When he
23	woke up, he looked at the signal, looked at the wrong
24	one, and went through a red signal.
25	Q Okay. And got terminated for that?

Ca	se 4:05-cv-05434-cvv Document 130 Flied 05/27/2006 Fage 79 of 10c
1	five-minute break.
2	(A recess was taken at 3:30
3	p.m.; the deposition continued
4	at 3:38 p.m.)
5	MR. JONES: Back on the record.
6	Q (By Mr. Jones) All right, so we we
7	covered the employees who you could from memory today,
8	not having lists available to you, covered the
9	employees who were Caucasian and promoted to engineer
10	where you had concern; felt that perhaps they should
11	not have been.
12	A Uh-huh.
13	Q What I'd like to do now is look at sort of a
14	converse of that, and I know Mr. Campbell may be in
15	this group, but are there any African Americans that
16	you can recall who tried to get promoted to engineer,
17	who didn't make it, who you felt should have?
18	A John would be the primary one, because he
19	stands out as the most you know, he tried the
20	hardest.
21	The only other one I can think of is Frank
22	Caron, and they wouldn't hire him, and he went down to
23	San Jose to PCS, and he was hired down there, because
24	he couldn't get a break at Oakland.
25	Q Now, is Caron C-A-R-O-N?

Case	4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 80 of 108	
1	A Yeah.	
2	Q African American?	
3	A I think mixed. You know, I don't know his	
4	total ethnicity, but, you know, he's I think he's	
5	got an African American father and a	
6	(A discussion was had off	
7	the record.)	
8	Q (By Mr. Jones) Okay. Now, with respect to	
9	Mr. Caron, were you involved in any way	
10	A Yes.	
11	Q Let me finish my question. I'm sure you've	
12	completely predicted where it was going, but let's make	
13	sure we have a clear record.	
14	With respect to Mr. Caron, were you involved	
15	in any way with the in the process that resulted in	
16	the decision that Mr. Caron would not be promoted?	
17	A Yes.	
18	Q Okay. Can you explain to me what your	
19	involvement was?	
20	A I voted for him. I think it was a three-to-	
21	two vote against him, and I was in the minority.	
22	Q Who else voted for Mr. Caron?	
23	A The the HRD, the personnel person, and	
24	myself.	
25	Q And then who voted against Mr. Caron?	

C	ase 4:05-cv-05434-CW — Document 130 — Filed 03/27/2008 — Page 81-of-10
1	A Mr. Shelton, Mr. Edson, and then Jay Commer.
2	Q And do you remember what the basis was for
3	their votes against him?
4	A They didn't Yeah. They said that he
5	wasn't smart enough to be an engineer.
6	Q Did they base that on anything in particular
7	that they revealed to you?
8	A They said they based it on his answers during
9	the interview.
10	Q Did they identify in particular any answers
11	to you?
12	A No.
13	Q Did you question them all about which answers
14	they felt were deficient?
15	A Yes.
16	.Q What did you ask them?
17	A I asked them well, I it was it was
18	obvious that he was nervous, you know, and he was way
19	more articulate when he was calm, and he wanted the job
20	so bad that he kind of stumbled over his words and
21	things, and I asked them to make allowances for that.
22	And they said that being an engineer is a
23	stressful job, and maybe if he's under stress when he's
24	up on the engine, he couldn't handle it, he wasn't
25	smart enough to be able to if he was attracted

Case	e 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 83 of 108
1	Q Okay. Keeping in mind the safety violations
2	that you were aware of that related to Mr. Campbell, do
3	you know anyone who got promoted to the engineer
4	position who had a similar set of safety violations?
5	A Yeah.
6	Q Who?
7	A I don't know specifically, but there's
8	there's several that had rookie mistakes like John
9	made. A couple rookie, or one rookie mistake that I
10	can think of, that really wasn't disqualifying.
11	Q Okay. And I think you've given me all of the
12	examples of people whose names you recall where the
13	one-year rule was waived; is that right?
14	A I don't know all of them
15	Q Right.
16	A but I've given you my best recollection.
17	Q And I think you had one gentleman by the name
18	of Tony
19	A Onisko.
20	Q Onisko. Were there any others that you're
21	aware of?
22	A Probably, but I don't recall off the top of
23	my head right now.
24	Q Okay. And then are you aware of any
25	Caucasian employees who had safety violations that were

Ca	se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 84 of 108
1	similar to Mr. Campbell's, including the event that you
2	heard about in July of 2004, who were not terminated?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And who would that be?
5	A My last student before I left Amtrak, Rick
6	I forget Rick's last name. Edwards, Rick Edwards.
7	Q What safety violations with Rick were you
8	aware of?
9	A He was an electrician, and he was accused of
10	falsifying some testing and not doing his work, and he
11	was promoted anyway.
12	Q Was that the only issue with Mr. Edwards that
13	you're aware of?
13	you're aware of? A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah.
٠	
14	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah.
14 15	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah. Yeah. He had all kinds of managerial he had
14 15 16	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah. Yeah. He had all kinds of managerial he had problems with management. But he was promoted away
14 15 16 17	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah. Yeah. He had all kinds of managerial he had problems with management. But he was promoted away instead of terminated.
14 15 16 17	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah. Yeah. He had all kinds of managerial he had problems with management. But he was promoted away instead of terminated. Q What position was he promoted to?
14 15 16 17 18	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah. Yeah. He had all kinds of managerial he had problems with management. But he was promoted away instead of terminated. Q What position was he promoted to? A Locomotive engineer. And he did not have a
14 15 16 17 18 19	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah. Yeah. He had all kinds of managerial he had problems with management. But he was promoted away instead of terminated. Q What position was he promoted to? A Locomotive engineer. And he did not have a year's service, even as an electrician with the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah. Yeah. He had all kinds of managerial he had problems with management. But he was promoted away instead of terminated. Q What position was he promoted to? A Locomotive engineer. And he did not have a year's service, even as an electrician with the company.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A No. That's that's the most Well, yeah. Yeah. He had all kinds of managerial he had problems with management. But he was promoted away instead of terminated. Q What position was he promoted to? A Locomotive engineer. And he did not have a year's service, even as an electrician with the company. Q When did that occur? Do you know?

Cas	e 4:05-d	cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 85 of 108
1	was	hard to train because he didn't have very much
2	expe	rience.
3	-	Q Okay. Who was involved in the decision to
4	prom	ote him?
5		A He told me Steve Shelton was. Lou Bellotti.
6		Q So now when you say locomotive engineer,
7	that	's the same position that Mr. Campbell was applying
. 8	for?	
9		A Yes.
10	****	Q Now, you said he was he was accused of
11	false	e testing. Do you know whether or not the
12	accus	sation of false testing resulted in an
13	inves	stigation?
14	-	A It did.
15		Q And do you know if it resulted in a
16	deter	mination that the accusation was true?
17		A It was upheld, yes.
18	_	Q Okay. Do you know what kind of testing it
19	was?	
20		A Sure.
21	_	Q What was it?
22		A It was testing the electrical circuit for the
23	head	end power.
24		Q I'm sorry, could you say what kind of power
25	that	was?
L		

C	a se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 86 of 108
1	service.
2	Q Okay. Was there any other source of
3	information about the accusation that was made against
4	Mr. Edwards, other than Mr. Edwards himself and Rick
5	O'Shea?
6	A Pat O'Shea.
7	Q Pat O'Shea.
8	A No.
9	MR. JONES: Okay. All right. That's it.
10	Those are those are all my questions for the time
11	being.
12	MR. MOORE: Thank you.
13	I have some questions, sir.
14	000
15	EXAMINATION BY MR. MOORE
16	
17	Q With respect to the split switch incident,
18	when did that occur?
19	A Sometime in the early morning.
20	Q I mean, what year?
21	A I think it was, like, ninety-nine or 2000,
22	something like that.
23	Q Could you tell us explain for us, rather,
24	I should ask you could you describe the promotional
25	process that existed at Amtrak at the time you were

MR. JONES: Vague and ambiguous; overbroad. MR. MOORE: You can answer. THE WITNESS: The selection process was, people that wanted to be locomotive engineers had to be the policy back then was, you had to be in train service for a year, but that was always violated, and there had to be a fairly good record. It didn't have to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record. Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking was the final decisionmaker with respect to	Cas	e 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 87 of 108
MR. MOORE: You can answer. THE WITNESS: The selection process was, people that wanted to be locomotive engineers had to be the policy back then was, you had to be in train service for a year, but that was always violated, and there had to be a fairly good record. It didn't have to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record. Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	1	working there?
THE WITNESS: The selection process was, people that wanted to be locomotive engineers had to be the policy back then was, you had to be in train service for a year, but that was always violated, and there had to be a fairly good record. It didn't have to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record. Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	2	MR. JONES: Vague and ambiguous; overbroad.
people that wanted to be locomotive engineers had to be the policy back then was, you had to be in train service for a year, but that was always violated, and there had to be a fairly good record. It didn't have to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record. Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	3	MR. MOORE: You can answer.
be the policy back then was, you had to be in train service for a year, but that was always violated, and there had to be a fairly good record. It didn't have to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record. Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	4	THE WITNESS: The selection process was,
service for a year, but that was always violated, and there had to be a fairly good record. It didn't have to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record. Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	5	people that wanted to be locomotive engineers had to
there had to be a fairly good record. It didn't have to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record. Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	6	be the policy back then was, you had to be in train
to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record. Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	7	service for a year, but that was always violated, and
Nothing major on your record, to be considered for engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	8	there had to be a fairly good record. It didn't have
engine service. And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	9	to be perfect, but it had to be a pretty good record.
And once you're a pool of candidates was put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	10	Nothing major on your record, to be considered for
put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	11	engine service.
to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	12	And once you're a pool of candidates was
given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	13	put in to personnel and personnel would weed that down
committee asked them standardized questions. After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	14	to eligible candidates, those eligible candidates were
After the interview was concluded, the interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	15	given to an interviewing committee. The interviewing
interviewing committee members would vote, and the majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	16	committee asked them standardized questions.
majority of those people in favor of a candidate would pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	17	After the interview was concluded, the
pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	18	interviewing committee members would vote, and the
was the division superintendent, and he would accept or reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	19	majority of those people in favor of a candidate would
reject those candidates from the interviewing officers. And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	20	pass the candidate on to Mr. Deely at that time, who
And that's how it was done. Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	21	was the division superintendent, and he would accept or
Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking	22	reject those candidates from the interviewing officers.
tal inequality	23	And that's how it was done.
25 was the final decisionmaker with respect to	24	Q (By Mr. Moore) So the final decisionmaking
The state of the s	25	was the final decisionmaker with respect to

Case 4:05 cv 05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 88 of 108

Case	4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 89 of 108
1	Q What was his response?
.2	A He said, "Thank you for your time."
3	Q And that was the end of that?
4	A Yes. I was dismissed.
5	Q Do you know of any other employees who were
6	promoted who came before interviewing committees in
7	which their immediate supervisor did not take part?
8	MR. JONES: Objection; overbroad; lacks
9	foundation.
10	THE WITNESS: I'm sure there was other
11	instances. I don't know.
12	Q (By Mr. Moore) You indicated earlier in your
13	testimony that, if you were given some names, it might
14	refresh your recollection as to the employees who were
15	promoted to engineer positions but who had safety
16	violations. Do you recall that?
17	A Yes.
18	Q I've been made to understand that the
19	following persons that I'm whose names I'm going to
20	call out at this time were promoted in the year 2000,
21	and I ask you to listen and see if it refreshes your
22	recollection as to whether or not any of them had
23	safety violations.
24	A Okay.
25	Q E. A. Ohman, O-H-M-A-N?
L	

Case	4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 90 of 108
1	A Yes, I know her.
2	Q Do you know whether or not she had safety
3	violations?
4	A She had a really major one.
5	Q And what was that?
6	A She was working for the Union Pacific
7	Railroad, and she went through a red signal, and she
8	occupied main track without authority.
9	Q What is a red signal?
10	A Stop signal.
11	Q And what does that mean, to occupy main track
12	without authority?
13	A If you occupy a main track without authority,
14	you violate that space, and the implication and the
15	meaning is that, two trains cannot occupy the same
16	space at the same time, so only one train is allowed in
17	that space.
18	So what she did is, she went into an onto
19	a track where she did not have authorization. That
20	could have resulted in a collision, because there might
21	have been another train in there.
22	Q And the purpose of that rule is to prevent
23	train collisions?
24	A Yes.
25	Q S. L. McLauchlin, M-C-L-A-U-C-H-L-I-N?

C ₁ a	use 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 91 of 108 The safety concern was, is that he couldn't
2	climb ladders and he couldn't and that was the
- 3	safety consideration, but we felt that it wasn't valid.
4	Q You would agree that reasonable people may
5	have reached a different conclusion with respect to him
6	because of this ADA problem?
7	A Yes.
. 8	Q J. R. Kyles, K-Y-L-E-S?
9	A Josh Kyles.
10	Q And do you know whether or not Mr. Kyles had
11	any major safety violations?
12	MR. JONES: Lacks foundation.
13	THE WITNESS: He did.
14	MR. MOORE: Go right ahead.
15	THE WITNESS: He did. He had several hard
16	couplings in the yard that he was involved with when I
17	was a supervisor.
18	I am aware of, and I know Josh real well,
19	because his father and my father are good friends and
20	I've been a friend of his family for a long time, and
21	he had trouble.
22	I don't remember all the specifics, but there
23	were several safety things that he needed help with
24	before he was allowed to be an engineer.
25	Q (By Mr. Moore) And you say he'd had two hard

Cas	e <u> 4:05-cv</u> -	-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 92 of 108
. 1	couplings?	
2	-	A At least.
3		Q Okay. And you explained to us this morning
4	what	a hard coupling is; is that correct?
5		A That's correct.
6		And when you reference him as having had hard
7	coupl.	ings, you're referring to the same type of
8	incide	ent?
9		Yeah. Over four-mile-an-hour couplings.
10	(All right. G. B. Santos, S-A-N-T-O-S?
11	1	Greg Santos.
12	9	Yes. Do you know Santos?
13	Į P	Yes, I do.
14		Did he have any major violations?
15	P	Yes, he
16		MR. JONES: Lacks foundation.
17		THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.
18	Q	(By Mr. Moore) And what were they?
19	A	He violated restricted speed on the Wisconsin
20	Centra	l Railroad as a conductor before we hired him;
21	howeve	r, some time had gone by, and he had taken some
22	corrective action, and we felt sure that it was a	
23	very serious safety violation, but he had grown from	
24	that,	and he probably wouldn't repeat it.
25	Q	And what is restricted speed?

Q And he was promoted? A Absolutely. Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	Cas	e 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 94 of 108
ground"? A He shoved off the end of a track. Q So the car was completely off the track? A Just one one set of one wheels. He caught it real quick. Q And he was promoted? A Absolutely. Q Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	1	we call the Oakland terminal, OTR, at the shed.
A He shoved off the end of a track. Q So the car was completely off the track? A Just one one set of one wheels. He caught it real quick. Q And he was promoted? A Absolutely. Q Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	2	Q And what do you mean by, "put a car on the
So the car was completely off the track? A Just one one set of one wheels. He caught it real quick. Q And he was promoted? A Absolutely. Q Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	3	ground"?
A Just one one set of one wheels. He caught it real quick. Q And he was promoted? A Absolutely. Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	4	A He shoved off the end of a track.
it real quick. Q And he was promoted? A Absolutely. Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	5	Q So the car was completely off the track?
Q And he was promoted? A Absolutely. Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	6	A Just one one set of one wheels. He caught
A Absolutely. Q Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	7	
Q Do you know of any other persons Strike that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	8	Q And he was promoted?
that. Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	9	A Absolutely.
Do you know of any other safety violations that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	10	Q Do you know of any other persons Strike
that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	11	
that Mr. Wilson may have had? MR. JONES: Lacks foundation. THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	12	Do you know of any other safety violations
THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	13	that Mr. Wilson may have had?
specific type and Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	14	MR. JONES: Lacks foundation.
Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	15	THE WITNESS: Yes, but I don't recall the
to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J. Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	16	specific type and
Materhouse. Are you familiar with that name? A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	17	Q (By Mr. Moore) All right. I'm going to go
A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure. Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	18	to the year 2001, and I have been given the name of J.
Q And he's Caucasian? A Yes. Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	19	Waterhouse. Are you familiar with that name?
22 A Yes. 23 Q Do you know whether or not he had any major 24 safety violations?	20	A Yeah. John Waterhouse. Sure.
Q Do you know whether or not he had any major safety violations?	21	Q And he's Caucasian?
24 safety violations?	22	A Yes.
24 safety violations?	23	Q Do you know whether or not he had any major
25	24	
MR. JONES: Lacks foundation.	25	MR. JONES: Lacks foundation.

Cas	e 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 96 of 108 he Caucasian?
2	A Yes.
3	Q And did he have a major safety violation?
4	A Yes.
5	MR. JONES: Lacks foundation; move to strike
6	to interpose the objection that it lacks foundation.
7	Could I ask you, just on
8	MR. MOORE: No, no. I'm asking questions
9	now.
10	MR. JONES: No, no, I'm going to ask him to
11	give me a moment in between the question and answer to
12	give me a space in time to object if I need to.
13	MR. MOORE: All right. You can object. I'm
14	sure you have your opportunity to object.
15	Q (By Mr. Moore) Did we have an answer to the
16	question of whether he had major safety violations?
17	A Yes.
18	MR. JONES: Same objection.
19	Q (By Mr. Moore) Okay. And what was it?
20	A He ran through a switch over at Schnitzer
21	Steel, which was the training facility that we were
22	using.
23	Q And what does that mean, he ran through a
24	switch?
25	A Same thing that Mr. Campbell was accused of.

Case	4:05 cv 05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 97 of 108
1	He went through the switch. It was bent for the
2	other direction, and he went through it and bent the
3	rail up.
4	Q And what does that mean, when the rail is
5	bent up?
6	A Well, you know, somebody has to come out and
7	fix it, and it costs money and time.
8	But in that case, the track was very weedy,
9	and there was garbage all over it, and it was
10	nighttime, and I was the person that made the judgment
11	on it that, you know, a new guy, in the middle of the
12	night, had a really, you know, overgrown track.
13	There was extenuating circumstances, and I
14	didn't hold it against him. It was a good learning
15	experience for Robert.
16	Q Okay. C. M. Skinner, S-K-I-N-N-E-R?
17	A Chad Skinner.
18	Q Yes. Is he Caucasian?
19	A Yes.
20	Q And do you know whether or not he had any
21	major safety violations?
22	MR. JONES: Lacks foundation.
23	MR. MOORE: You may answer.
24	THE WITNESS: Yes.
25	Q (By Mr. Moore) And what were his violations?

1	A He had I think it was, he forgot to tie
2	the brakes on a cut of cars that he left standing in
3	the coach yard, and he was counseled.
4	And subsequently I investigated that, more
5	than one time over a period of time, and never found
6	him to ever leave brakes off the cars again.
7	And that was a good learning experience,
8	something that he never repeated, and so he qualified
9	for engine service.
10	Q What is what does that mean, forgetting to
11	tie the brakes?
12	A There's a hand brake on each and every car,
13	and when you leave them alone without being attached to
14	a train, it's got to stay secure in one place, and you
15	need to tie a brake, a manual brake on the car, as well
16	as rely on the automatic brakes.
17	You don't ever rely on the automatic brakes
18	to hold a car. You make sure it's cinched down with
19	the chain.
20	And whenever you leave a car somewhere, you
21	cinch it down to make sure it's secure and won't roll
22	around.
23	Q All right. K. Powell? Do you are you
24	familiar with that name?
25	A Yes.

Case	e 4:05-cv-054	134-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 99 of 108
1	Q	And is that a male or female?
2	A	Male.
3	Ω_	And is he Caucasian?
4	A	Caucasian.
5	Q	Do you know whether or not he had any major
6	safety v	iolations?
7	A	Several.
8		MR. JONES: Objection; lacks foundation.
9	Q	(By Mr. Moore) And what were they?
10	A	Several. He ran into another train with
11	his w	ith his cut of cars.
12	Q	Was that the only violation?
13	A	I don't know if it was the only one, but it's
14	the one	that stands out in my mind.
15	Q	Okay. So he actually collided with a train?
16	· <u>A</u>	Yes, he did.
17		MR. JONES: Asked and answered.
18	Q	(By Mr. Moore) Is that correct?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And he was promoted to to engineer?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Do you recognize the name of T. Evans?
23	A	No.
24	Q	W. Giddings, G-I-D-D-I-N-G-S?
25	A	Wayne Giddings, yes.
Ĺ		

1	FURTHER EXAMINATION BY MR. JONES
2	
3	Q You made a statement in response to a
4	question from Mr. Moore that Mr. Deely was the person
5	who made the final decisions on promotions.
. 6	A Yes.
7	Q How is it you know that Mr. Deely was the
8	person who made the final decision?
9	A That was policy. That's the only way people
10	were there's the a 2000; there's the form that
11	Amtrak uses. It's a personnel requisition form, and no
12	2000 forms were ever submitted unless they went through
13	Juanita McCumson, who was Deely's secretary.
14	Deely had to see every single personnel
15	action request, and I don't know if John's personally,
16	but every single one had to come through Joe's office
17	before it was handed off and approved.
18	You may sign it, but it had to go to Joe
19	before it was sent to personnel to take any kind of
20	personnel action request, whatever that was, in any
21	department.
22	Q Okay. But do you do you know what
23	Mr. Deely actually did with these personnel action
24	forms when they came through his office?
25	A I don't know what he actually did, but what

Case	4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 102 of 108
1	A Yes.
2	Q Did you witness any of them?
3	A No.
4	Q Did you become aware of them based upon
-5	information that others told you about?
6	A And my own personal investigation.
7	Q Okay. When you talk about your own personal
. 8	investigation, does a hard coupling leave physical
9	evidence?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. And do you remember at about what
12	point in time in Mr. Kyle's career with Amtrak the hard
13	couplings occurred?
14	A When he was brand new.
15	Q Okay. And how long after that was he
16	promoted to engineer, finally?
17	A Less than a year.
18	Q Less than a year after the hard couplings?
19	A Yes.
20	Q Okay. And were the hard couplings the only
21	event that you can recall with Mr. Kyles?
22	A At this point, it's the only ones I can
23	recall.
24	Q Okay. With regard to G. B. Santos, you
25	indicated that there was a violation of restricted

Cas	56 4.05-67-05454-677 Document 130 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11
1	speed on the Wisconsin Central.
2	A Southern.
3	Q The Wisconsin Southern?
4	A Right.
5	Q Okay. And is the Wisconsin Southern a
6	railroad other than Amtrak?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. So you have no firsthand knowledge
9	about that event, do you?
10	A Just what I read in his personnel file, and
11	what he explained to me during the interview.
12	Q Okay. With regard and oh, was he an
13	engineer already when he came to Amtrak?
14	A No.
15	Q Did he have any other major safety violations
16	at Amtrak prior to his promotion to engineer?
17	A No.
18	Q Then there was a B. Wilson, who you indicated
19	put a car on the ground in Oakland. Did you learn
20	about that through through your investigation?
21	A Yes.
22	Q And was there physical evidence that
23	A Sure.
24	Q And then you spoke to Mr. Wilson?
25	A Sure.

Ca	se 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 104 of 108
1	Q Now, when in his career did that event occur?
2	A Oh, just a few months before he was
3	considered for engine service.
4	Q Okay. And then a few months after he was
5	well, a few months after this incident in Oakland, was
6	he then promoted?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And was that the only major safety violation
9	that you recall with him?
10	A Yes.
11	Q With regard to John Waterhouse, you indicated
12	that there was an incident that occurred while he was
13	working for the Union Pacific.
14	A Yes.
15	Q So is that something that you learned from
16	Mr. Waterhouse?
17	A And his personnel record.
18	Q Okay. But no firsthand knowledge of the
19	incident?
20	A No.
21	Q And when he came to Amtrak, was he already
22	working for Union Pacific as an engineer?
23	A Yes.
24	Q Was he hired directly into the engineer's
25	position?

Case 4	l 05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 105 of 108
1	A Yes.
2	Q You mentioned that an R. Ward
3	A Robert Ward.
4	Q Robert Ward ran through a switch at I
5	think you said Switzer?
6	A Schnitzer.
7	Q Schnitzer Steel, and is that something he
8	did while he was with Amtrak?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And was he already an engineer?
11	A No.
12	Q How long before he was promoted to engineer
13	do you recall that occurring?
14	A Just a couple of months.
15	Q You mentioned there was backing up to
16	Robert Ward for a second, did you consider Mr. Ward's
17	mistake to be a major violation?
18	A No.
19	Q With regard to C. M. Skinner
20	A Yes.
21	Q you indicated that he had forgotten to tie
22	brakes; is that right?
23	A Yes.
24	Q And is that something that he forgot to do
25	while he was employed with Amtrak?
Į	

	·
1	A Yes.
2	Q How far in advance of his promotion to
3	engineer did that event occur?
4	A Just one or two months.
5	Q And how is it you know about the events with
6	Mr. Skinner?
7	A I caught him, in an efficiency test.
8	Q Okay. So you were observing his work, and
9	you noticed that he hadn't tied the brakes?
10	A Yes.
11	Q Okay. And what about with Mr with
12	Mr. Schnitzer, you actually investigated the bent rail;
13	is that right?
14	A No. Not Mr. Schnitzer. It's Schnitzer
15	Steel. It's Mr. Ward.
16	Q Thanks. Mr. Ward.
17	A Yes.
18	Mr. Ward, I went over and saw the conditions,
19	and it was so extenuating that it was nothing to talk
20	to him about. It was hardly his fault.
21	Q Okay.
22	A But a more experienced person wouldn't
23	would have cleared the brush and stuff, and not made
24	those assumptions that a new person would.
25	Q Okay. Then was it Mr. Skinner who you had

Ca	use 4:05-cv-05434-CW Document 130 Filed 03/27/2008 Page 197 of 10
1	indicated had hit a train?
2	A No.
3	Q Who was that?
4	A That was Kenny Powell. P-O-W-E-L-L.
5	Q And did that occur while he was employed with
6	Amtrak?
7	A Yes.
8	Q And did it occur before or after he was an
9	engineer?
10	A Before.
11	Q How far in advance of his promotion to
12	A Maybe six months. And it was at the Oakland
13	diesel shop. It was a sideswipe.
14	Q Can you explain what that all means to me?
15	How do you hit a train in a sideswipe?
16	A Well, there was a there was it's called
17	the short short way, and there was a train, and it
18	was out to foul.
19	It was coming into the diesel shop, and
20	instead of stopping short and waiting, or making sure
21	that there was an adequate distance for the two pieces
22	of equipment to clear, they scraped sides as they went
23	by each other.
24	Q Then, with respect to T. Evans, you didn't
25	recall any events?

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	I certify that the witness in the foregoing
3	deposition,
4	MARK CARL SCHULTHIES,
5	was by me duly sworn to tell the truth, the whole truth
6	and nothing but the truth in the within-entitled case;
7	that said deposition was taken at the time and place
8	named herein; that the testimony of said witness was
9	reported by me, a duly certified shorthand reporter and
10	a disinterested person, and was thereafter transcribed
11	under my direction into typewriting.
12	I further certify that I am not of counsel or
13	attorney for either or any of the parties to said
14	deposition, nor in any way interested in the outcome of
15	the case named in said caption.
16	Dated March 27, 2007.
17	
18	
19	
20	MERRY C GESNER Certified Shorthand Reporter State of Callifornia
21	Certificate No. 8819
22	
23	
24	
25	